

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/775,760	02/09/2004	Erik B. Christensen	MS1-1863US	8719	
22801 LEE & HAYE	7590 06/08/2007 S.P.L.C.		EXAM	INER	
421 W RIVER	SIDE AVENUE SUITE 500	•	PANNALA, SATHYANARAYAN R		
SPOKANE, W	A 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2164	8719 NER 'ANARAYAN R	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/08/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lhptoms@leehayes.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/775,760	CHRISTENSEN ET AL.
mention outlineary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	•
(1) <u>Sathyanarayan Pannala</u> .	(3)	
(2) Paul W. Mitchell.	(4) <u>·</u>	
Date of Interview: 01 June 2007.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)⊡ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 11</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Walker (US Patent 6,6)	65,729), Wookey et al. (USP)	A Pub. US 20040001514 A1).
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	y)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant faxed a propose 112, second paragraph and discussed about it, Examiner state discussion, examiner explained the way to overcome 3 No agreement with respect to claims was reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no content is a substance of the second state of	sed amendment to overcome to said that the amendment over 15 USC 101 rejection. Application application which the examiner accopy of the amendments that the same of t	the rejection under 35 USC comes 112 rejection. During ant dicussed prior art rejection.
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
	•	·
	•	
		la -
	cal po	innala
	SAIHYANAI	RAYAN PANNALA IY EXAMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.



tee & hayes pilc, inellectual property law

Fax Cover Sheet

DATE: 5-30-07

RE:

TO: Sathyanaraya R. Pannala

571-273-4115 FAX

FROM: Paul W. Mitchell

10/775,760

- Interview Request Form

NUMBER OF PAGES (including cover sheet): 4

Please confirm receipt of fax and acceptance of interview.

PTOL-413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2005. OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	Applicar	t Initiated Inte	rview Request	Form	
Application No.: 10/ Examiner: Sathyana		First Named App Art Unit: 2164	licant: Erlk B. Christ	ensen	
Tentative Participa (1) Sathyanaraya R.	nts: Pannala	(2)Pau	l W. Mitchell		
		(4)	·		
Proposed Date of In	iterview: 6-1-07		Proposed T	ime: 2PM Easter	<u>n</u> (AM/PM)
Type of Interview F (1) Telephonic		onal (3) Vi	deo Conference		
Exhibit To Be Show If yes, provide brief		ated: YES	√NO		_
		Issues To Be I	Discussed		
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) 103	Claim 1	Art	[]	[]	. []
(2)			[]	[]	(L)
(3)			[]	[]	[]
(4) Continuation She	eet Attached		Ĺ J	[]	[]
Brief Description of	Arguments to l	oe Presented:	· .	·	•
see also attached dra	aft amendment				
An interview was co NOTE: This form sh (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will n interview. Therefore, as soon as possible.	ould be complete ot be delayed fro	d by applicant and sub m issue because of app	omitted to the examination of the commission of	bmit a written	record of this
Applicant/Applica	_	ve Signature	Exam	iner/SPE Signa	iture
Pau Typed/Printed Name	of Applicant or	Representative			
4	4453 Number, if appl	•			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USFTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USFTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this hurden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trudemark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (cont)

	No.: 10/775,760		Applicant: Enk B. Chris	tensen	
3xaminer: <u> </u>	Sathyanaraya R. Panna	ala Art Unit: 2164	Status of Applicat	ion:	_
				•	
			•		
			•		
3rief Descrip	ption of Arguments	to be Presented (con	nt):		
	•	,	•		
		· - · · · · · ·			
T 6-					
The rete	rences do not ap	pear to describe	the selecting elem	ents recited in cl	aim 1.
Specifica	ally, the reference	es do not descrit	e "selecting a data	streaming proto	col when
the size	exceeds a prede	termined limit or	selecting a buffere	ed data protocol	
otherwis	e". Walker does	not describe the	recited selecting e	lemente Mooke	v is
equally s	cilent Applicant	roducate on one	recited selecting e	IEITIGITIS. VYOOKE	y is
equally s	silent, Applicant	requests an oppo	ortunity to discuss	ine basis of the 1	03
rejection	1.				
İ					
ľ					
	•			•	
ł			•		
ł					
1					
1	,	•			
		•			
ł					
			•		
	· ····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

1. (Proposed draft amendment) A process comprising:

determining a size of a data structure;

selecting a data streaming protocol when the size exceeds a predetermined

limit;

selecting a buffered data protocol otherwise;

sending data of the data structure consistent with the selected protocol.